

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

08/999,690

Applicant(s)

GUNZBURG ET AL.

Examiner

Q. Janice Li, M.D.

Art Unit

1633

All Participants:

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO.

(2) James Daly, Appl. Rep..

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7/18/05 & 7/22/05

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC § 103

Claims discussed:

all pending claims

Prior art documents discussed:

Haynes, Gilboa, and Hodgson

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Q. JANICE LI, M.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 7/18/05, the examiner called to indicate that the 103 rejection is still applicable to claims 27, 28, 30, 31, 34-40, 46-48, 52, because Haynes indicated that mellitin is unsuitable for use in the situation where there is a potential risk of a hemolytic side effect. However, the claimed vector is not limited to any particular use, and thus the combined teachings of the references still render these claims obvious.

The examiner indicated the rest of claims would be allowable contingent on correction of clarity and § 112, 2nd paragraph issues.

The applicant's representative informed the examiner on 7/22/05 that applicants agreed to cancel claims 27, 28, 30, 31, 34-40, 46-48, 52, and amend claims 9, 11, 20, 21, 55, 60, 62, 70, 71, 79 as proposed by the examiner.